



ON THE MOBILITY DEGREE OF (PSEUDO-) RIEMANNIAN SPACES WITH RESPECT TO CONCIRCULAR MAPPINGS

OLENA CHEPURNA AND IRENA HINTERLEITNER

Abstract. In this paper we study the mobility degree of (pseudo-) Riemannian spaces with respect to concircular mappings. We assume that the smoothness class of differentiability is C^2 .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B20, 53B21, 53B30, 53C26

Keywords: geodesic mappings, (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold, smoothness class

1. INTRODUCTION

Under a geodesic circle we understand a curve for which the first curvature is constant and the second curvature is zero. K. Yano [14] introduced a conformal mapping of (pseudo-) Riemannian spaces which preserves geodesic circles and is called *concircular*.

These mappings are studied in many papers. In the present paper, we show results connected with basic notations under the conditions of minimal differentiability of metrics and geometric objects which define concircular mappings and also concircular vector fields.

2. FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS OF CONCIRCULAR MAPPINGS

Let $V_n = (M, g)$ and $\bar{V}_n = (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be n -dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds with the metric tensors g and \bar{g} , respectively, $n > 2$.

Definition 1. A *conformal mapping* is a diffeomorphism of V_n onto \bar{V}_n such that for all points $x \in M$ ($\equiv \bar{M}$) the following relation is satisfied

$$\bar{g}(x) = e^{2\sigma(x)} g(x), \quad (2.1)$$

where σ is a function on M .

If σ is constant, then the mapping is *homothetic*, and, moreover, if $\sigma = 0$, then the mapping is *isometric*. See [1, 7, 9, 10, 12].

The paper was supported by the project FAST-S-12-25/1660 of the Brno University of Technology.

As we have checked (see [14], [9, p. 117]), if a pseudo-Riemannian space admits concircular mappings, then the function of conformality $\vartheta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-\sigma}$ satisfies

$$\nabla\nabla\vartheta = \rho \cdot g, \quad (2.2)$$

where ρ is a function and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric g . In a local coordinate neighbourhood (U, x) , $U \subset M$, it has the form $\nabla_i \vartheta_j = \rho g_{ij}$, where g_{ij} are components of g and $\vartheta_j = \nabla_j \vartheta$. A vector field ϑ_i is called *equidistant* (Sinyukov [12, p. 92], see [9, p. 82]).

The integrability conditions of the last set of equations read

$$\vartheta_\alpha R_{ijk}^\alpha = g_{ij} \nabla_k \rho - g_{ik} \nabla_j \rho, \quad (2.3)$$

where R_{ijk}^h are components of the Riemann tensor of V_n . Using contraction, we get:

$$\nabla_i \rho = -\frac{1}{n-1} \vartheta_\alpha R_i^\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

where $R_i^h = g^{h\alpha} R_{\alpha i}$ and $R_{ij} = R_{i\alpha j}^\alpha$ are components of the Ricci tensor on V_n .

Remark 1. In many papers, the Ricci tensor was defined with the opposite sign, for example, [2–8, 12].

Contracting the integrability condition (2.3) with $g^{i\beta} \vartheta_\beta$, we obtain easily $\nabla_k \rho = B \vartheta_k$, where B is a function. Because ϑ_k is gradient-like: $\vartheta_k = \nabla_k \vartheta$, then it implies that $\rho = \rho(\vartheta)$ and $B = B(\vartheta)$.

After this, the condition (2.3) acquires the following form

$$\vartheta_\alpha R_{ijk}^\alpha = B (g_{ij} \vartheta_k - g_{ik} \vartheta_j). \quad (2.5)$$

As was shown earlier [13] (see [3, 4, 6, 9]), these equations are satisfied if

$$V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^2 \text{ (i. e. } g_{ij}(x), \bar{g}_{ij}(x) \in C^2), \vartheta(x) \in C^3, \vartheta_i(x) \in C^2 \text{ and } \varrho(x) \in C^1.$$

3. FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS OF CONCIRCULAR MAPPINGS FOR MINIMAL DIFFERENTIABLE CONDITIONS

We can write formula (2.2) in the following form

$$\nabla_j \vartheta^i \equiv \frac{\partial \vartheta^i}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{\alpha j}^i \vartheta^\alpha = \varrho \cdot \delta_j^i, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\vartheta^i = g^{i\alpha} \vartheta_\alpha$, δ_j^i is the Kronecker symbol and Γ_{ij}^h are the Christoffel symbols. It is easily seen that formulas (3.1) and also (2.2) are true when

$$V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^1 \text{ (i. e. } g_{ij}(x), \bar{g}_{ij}(x) \in C^1), \vartheta(x) \in C^2, \vartheta_i(x) \in C^1 \text{ and } \varrho(x) \in C^0.$$

The following lemma holds.

Lemma 1 (Hinterleitner and Mikeš [2]). *Let $\lambda^h \in C^1$ be a vector field and ρ a function. If*

$$\frac{\partial \lambda^h}{\partial x^i} - \rho \delta_i^h \in C^1,$$

then $\lambda^h \in C^2$ and $\rho \in C^1$.

If $\Gamma_{ij}^h \in C^1$ holds, which is equivalent to $V_n \in C^2$ (i. e., $g_{ij} \in C^2$), then from formula (3.1) follows $\frac{\partial \vartheta^i}{\partial x^j} - \varrho \cdot \delta_j^i \in C^1$, and from Lemma 1 we get:

$$\vartheta^i(x) \in C^2 (\equiv \vartheta_i(x) \in C^2 \equiv \vartheta(x) \in C^3) \text{ and } \varrho(x) \in C^1.$$

From this viewpoint, we specify and generalize the results involving concircular vector fields below. Evidently, in this case, the above formulas from (2.3) to (2.5) are satisfied.

The system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \vartheta_j &= \rho \cdot g_{ij}, \\ \nabla_i \rho &= -\frac{1}{n-1} \vartheta_\alpha R_i^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

is closed. It is a system of linear differential equations with respect to the co-vector ϑ_i and function ϱ , of Cauchy type, in first order covariant derivatives with coefficients uniquely determined by the metric g of the (pseudo-) Riemannian space V_n . For any family of initial values $\vartheta_i(x_0) = \vartheta_i^\circ$ and $\rho(x_0) = \rho^\circ$ of the functions under consideration in the given point x_0 , it admits at most one solution. Consequently, the number of free parameters in the general solution of the system is at most $n + 1$. See [6, 13].

Definition 2. The upper bound for the number of substantial parameters in the general solution of the system of equations (2.2) is called *the mobility degree under concircular mappings* of the (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_n .

Since the system is linear, it admits at most $n + 1$ linearly independent solutions corresponding to constant coefficients. It is obvious that the mobility degree under concircular mappings of the space coincides with the cardinality of the system of independent (substantial) concircular vector fields of the space.

It is known that only spaces with constant curvature admit the maximal number of $n + 1$ linearly independent concircular vector fields. Hence, under concircular mappings, only the spaces of constant curvature have the maximal mobility degree. This holds locally.

It follows from the analysis of the system of equations (3.2) that if $V_n \in C^r$, $r \geq 2$, then $\vartheta_i \in C^r$ and $\rho \in C^{r-1}$. It follows that the function ϑ belongs to C^{r+1} . From this and the formula (2.1), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If the (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_n ($V_n \in C^r$, $r \geq 2$, $n > 2$) admits a concircular mapping onto $\bar{V}_n \in C^2$, then \bar{V}_n belongs to C^r . Moreover, the function ϑ of conformality V_n and \bar{V}_n : $\bar{g} = \vartheta^{-2} \cdot g$ belongs to C^{r+1} .*

We suppose that the differentiability class r is equal to $2, 3, \dots, \infty, \omega$, where ∞ and ω denote infinitely differentiable and real analytic functions, respectively.

We can construct examples of such concircular mappings $V_n \rightarrow \bar{V}_n$ in the form of equidistant metrics, see [9, p. 79]:

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{\vartheta^2} \cdot g, \quad g = \pm(dx^1)^2 + \text{const} \cdot \sqrt{|\vartheta'|} \cdot d\tilde{s}^2,$$

where $d\tilde{s}^2(x^2, \dots, x^n)$ is a C^r metric of an $(n-1)$ -dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian space \bar{V}_{n-1} and $\vartheta(x^1)$ is a C^{r+1} function and $\vartheta > 0$, $\vartheta' \neq 0$.

4. A (PSEUDO-) RIEMANNIAN SPACE WHICH ADMITS AT LEAST TWO LINEARLY INDEPENDENT CONCIRCULAR VECTOR FIELDS

Below we prove the following properties of concircular fields.

Lemma 2. *The non-vanishing concircular vector field $\vartheta_i(x)$ can be equal to zero only on point sets of zero measure.*

Proof. Let us suppose that Lemma 2 is not true. Thus there exists a point $x_0 \in M$ in the neighborhood $U_{x_0} \subset M$ of which the concircular vector field $\vartheta_i(x)$ is vanishing. From (3.2) follows that $\rho(x) = 0$ on U_{x_0} . From that follows the initial conditions at the point x_0 : $\vartheta_i(x_0) = 0$ and $\rho(x_0) = 0$. The system of linear equations (3.2) with these initial conditions has only the trivial solution $\vartheta_i(x) = 0$ and $\rho(x) = 0$ on all of M . \square

By mathematical induction we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *The set of r ($r < n$) linear independent concircular vector fields*

$$\{\vartheta_i^1, \vartheta_i^2, \dots, \vartheta_i^r\} \quad (4.1)$$

on V_n can be linearly dependent only on point sets of zero measure.

Proof. Successively we are able to substitute $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Let (4.1) be linearly independent (excluding at point sets of zero measure) concircular vector fields on V_n which satisfy the equations

$$\vartheta_{i,j}^s = \rho^s g_{ij},$$

where ρ^s are functions on V_n .

Let these vectors be linearly independent at the point $x_0 \in M$, then these are linearly independent at a point x in a certain neighborhood U_{x_0} . Finally, let ϑ_i be a concircular vector field on M and

$$\vartheta_i(x) = \sum_{s=1}^r \alpha^s(x) \cdot \vartheta_i^s(x) \quad \text{for } x \in U_{x_0} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\overset{s}{\alpha}(x)$ are functions on U_{x_o} . Because $\vartheta_i^s(x) \in C^1$, the functions $\overset{s}{\alpha}(x)$ are differentiable. Covariantly differentiating (4.2) with respect to x^j we find

$$\left(\rho - \sum_{s=1}^r \overset{s}{\alpha} \cdot \overset{s}{\rho}\right) g_{ij} = \sum_{s=1}^r \nabla_j \overset{s}{\alpha} \cdot \vartheta_i^s.$$

This implies that $\rho = \sum_{s=1}^r \overset{s}{\alpha} \cdot \overset{s}{\rho}$ and $\nabla_j \overset{s}{\alpha} = 0$ (i. e., $\overset{s}{\alpha} = \text{const}$) on U_{x_o} .

For the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_i(x_o) &= \sum_{s=1}^r \overset{s}{\alpha} \cdot \vartheta_i^s(x_o), \\ \rho(x_o) &= \sum_{s=1}^r \overset{s}{\alpha} \cdot \overset{s}{\rho}(x_o), \end{aligned}$$

the equations (3.2) have only one solution: $\vartheta_i(x) = \sum_{s=1}^r \overset{s}{\alpha} \cdot \vartheta_i^s(x)$ on V_n . \square

We are going to prove the following

Theorem 2. *If a (pseudo-) Riemannian space $V_n \in C^2$ ($n > 2$) admits at least two linearly independent concircular vector fields $\vartheta_i(x) \in C^1$ with constant coefficients, then B is a constant, uniquely determined by the metric of the space V_n .*

Remark 2. In [6] and [4, p. 88] a similar theorem was published, but the proof was done only for $V_n \in C^3$, $\vartheta_i(x) \in C^3$ and $\varrho(x) \in C^2$, and, moreover, it has local validity. This also concerns the following Theorems 3, 4 and 5. On the basis of Lemmas 2 and 3 these Theorems are valid globally.

Proof. Assume in V_n exist at least two linearly independent concircular vector fields with constant coefficients ϑ_i and $\tilde{\vartheta}_i$, with correspondent functions B and \tilde{B} , respectively. Then the following is satisfied (see (3.1)):

$$\vartheta_\alpha R_{ijk}^\alpha = B(g_{ij} \vartheta_k - g_{ik} \vartheta_j), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_\alpha R_{ijk}^\alpha = \tilde{B}(g_{ij} \tilde{\vartheta}_k - g_{ik} \tilde{\vartheta}_j). \quad (4.4)$$

Multiplying (4.3) by $\tilde{\vartheta}_\alpha g^{\alpha k}$ and contracting over k we get by (4.4)

$$(B - \tilde{B})(g_{ij} \vartheta_\alpha \tilde{\vartheta}^\alpha - \tilde{\vartheta}_i \vartheta_j) = 0.$$

Suppose $B \neq \tilde{B}$. Then $g_{ij} \vartheta_\alpha \tilde{\vartheta}^\alpha - \tilde{\vartheta}_i \vartheta_j = 0$. From the last formula we get $\vartheta_\alpha \tilde{\vartheta}^\alpha = 0$ and $\tilde{\vartheta}_i \vartheta_j = 0$, a contradiction, since the vector fields are non-zero.

Hence $B = \tilde{B}$ holds. That is, the function B is uniquely defined by the metric of the space V_n itself. Because ϑ_k and $\tilde{\vartheta}_k$ are gradient-like covector fields ($\vartheta_k = \nabla_k \vartheta$ and $\tilde{\vartheta}_k = \nabla_k \tilde{\vartheta}$) from the equality $B = \tilde{B}$ the fact $B(\vartheta) = \tilde{B}(\tilde{\vartheta})$ follows. Note that ϑ and $\tilde{\vartheta}$ are independent variables, then from this fact follows: B is constant. \square

Note that the above theorem is analogous to some results proven earlier under the additional assumptions $V_n, \bar{V}_n \in C^3$, [5, 6, 13].

Theorem 3. *There are no (pseudo-) Riemannian spaces $V_n \in C^2$, except spaces of constant curvature, which admit more than $(n - 2)$ linearly independent concircular vector fields $\vartheta_i(x) \in C^1$ corresponding to constant coefficients.*

Remark 3. In [4, p. 86], [3, 5], a similar theorem was published but the proof was done only for $V_n \in C^3$, $\vartheta_i(x) \in C^3$ and $\varrho_i(x) \in C^2$.

Proof. Let us suppose the opposite. Let V_n be a space which is not of constant curvature and yet admits more than $(n - 2)$ linearly independent concircular vector fields with constant coefficients. The conditions (2.5) read

$$\vartheta_\alpha Z_{ijk}^\alpha = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$Z_{ijk}^h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R_{ijk}^h - B(\delta_k^h g_{ij} - \delta_j^h g_{ik}).$$

We can write the tensor Z_{ijk}^h as

$$Z_{ijk}^h = \sum_{s=1}^m b_s^h \overset{s}{\Omega}_{ijk},$$

where b_s^h are some linearly independent vectors, and $\overset{s}{\Omega}_{ijk}$ are linearly independent tensors. Since V_n is not of constant curvature, $m \geq 2$ holds.

From the conditions (4.5), we obtain

$$\vartheta_\alpha b_1^\alpha = 0, \quad \vartheta_\alpha b_2^\alpha = 0, \quad \dots, \quad \vartheta_\alpha b_m^\alpha = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Since $m \geq 2$, among the equations of the system (4.6) there are at least two substantial equations. From the previous facts it follows that there exist less or equal to $n - 2$ linearly independent vector fields ϑ_i , a contradiction. This proves Theorem 3. \square

From Theorem 3 and results in [6], the following two theorems are obtained:

Theorem 4. *Let $V_n \in C^2$, $(n > 2)$, be (pseudo-) Riemannian spaces in which there are $(n - 2)$ linearly independent concircular vector fields $\vartheta_i(x) \in C^1$. Then the Riemannian tensor has the following expression*

$$R_{hijk} = B(g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik}) + e(a_h b_i - a_i b_h)(a_j b_k - a_k b_j),$$

where a_i and b_i are non-colinear and pairwise orthogonal covectors, $e = \pm 1$, and $B = \text{const}$.

Theorem 5. *The (pseudo-) Riemannian space $V_n \in C^3$ ($n > 3$) admits $(n - 2)$ linearly independent concircular vector fields $\vartheta_i(x) \in C^1$ if and only if in V_n the relations [11]*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{hijk} &= B(g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik}) + e(a_h b_i - a_i b_h)(a_j b_k - a_k b_j), \\ a_{i,j} &= \overset{1}{\xi_j} a_i + \overset{2}{\xi_j} b_i + c_i a_j; \\ b_{i,j} &= \overset{3}{\xi_j} a_i + \overset{4}{\xi_j} b_i + c_i b_j; \\ c_{i,j} &= \overset{5}{\xi_j} a_i + \overset{6}{\xi_j} b_i + c_i c_j - B g_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

are satisfied, where a_i and b_i are non-colinear and pairwise orthogonal covectors; $c_i, \overset{s}{\xi_j}$ ($s = 1, \dots, 6$) are some covectors; $e = \pm 1$, and $B = \text{const}$.

Remark. This theorem was proved locally for $V_n \in C^3$, $\vartheta_i \in C^3$, $\varrho \in C^2$, in [6]. The detailed local proof is contained in the dissertation [3, p. 94-95], [4, p. 88-92].

REFERENCES

- [1] L. P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian geometry*. Princeton Univ. Press., 1949.
- [2] I. Hinterleitner and J. Mikeš, "Geodesic mappings and einstein spaces," in *Geometric Methods in Physics*, vol. 19, 2013, pp. 331–336.
- [3] V. A. Kiosak, "On geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces," Ph.D. dissertation, Mechnikov Univ. Odessa, 1993.
- [4] V. A. Kiosak, "On geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces," Ph.D. dissertation, Palacky Univ. Olomouc, 2002.
- [5] V. A. Kiosak, "On equidistant pseudo-riemannian spaces," *Mat. Stud.*, vol. 36, pp. 21–25, 2011.
- [6] V. A. Kiosak and J. Mikeš, "On the degree of mobility of Riemannian spaces with respect to geodesic mappings," in *Geometriya Pogruzh. Mnogoobraz.*, Moscow, 1986, pp. 35–39.
- [7] J. Mikeš, "Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces," *J. Math. Sci.*, vol. 78, pp. 311–333, 1996.
- [8] J. Mikeš, "Holomorphically projective mappings and their generalizations," *J. Math. Sci.*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 1334–1353, 1998.
- [9] J. Mikeš, A. Vanžurová, and I. Hinterleitner, *Geodesic mappings and some generalizations*. Olomouc: Palacky University Press, 2009.
- [10] A. Z. Petrov, *New methods in the general theory of relativity*. Moscow: Nauka, 1966.
- [11] Z. Radulovich, J. Mikeš, and M. L. Gavril'chenko, *Geodesic mappings and deformations of Riemannian spaces*. CID, Podgorica, OGU, Odessa, 1997.
- [12] N. S. Sinyukov, *Geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces*. Moscow: Nauka, 1979.
- [13] H. L. Vries, "Über riemannsche Raume die infinitesimale konforme Transformationen gestätten," *math. Zeitschr.*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 328–347, 1954.
- [14] K. Yano, *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*. Pergamon press, 1965.

*Authors' addresses***Olena Chepurna**

Odessa State Economical University, Department of Mathematics, Odessa, Ukraine

E-mail address: culeshova@ukr.net

Irena Hinterleitner

Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Mathematics, Žižkova
17, 602 00, Brno, Czech Republic

E-mail address: hinterleitner.irena@seznam.cz